BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

Filed: 07/02/19 of 58 19-30088 Doc# 2842-2 Entered: 07/02/19 18:04:42 Page 1

1	FRANK M. PITRE (SBN 100077)				
2	fpitre@cpmlegal.com ALISON E. CORDOVA (SBN 284942)				
,	acordova@cpmlegal.com	ELECTRONICALLY FILED			
3	ABIGAIL D. BLODGETT (SBN 278813)	Superior Court of California,			
4	ablodgett@cpmlegal.com	County of San Francisco			
5	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP San Francisco Airport Office Center	Clerk of the Court			
	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200	BY:JUDITH NUNEZ Deputy Clerk			
6	Burlingame, CA 94010				
7	Telephone: (650) 697-6000				
8	Facsimile: (650) 697-0577				
	MICHAEL A. KELLY (SBN 71460)				
9	mkelly@walkuplawoffice.com				
10	WALKUP MELODIA KELLY & SCHOEN	NBERGER			
l	650 California Street, 26 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108				
11	Telephone: (415) 981-7210				
12	Facsimile: (415) 391-6965				
13	BILL ROBINS, III (SBN 296101)				
14	robins@robinscloud.com				
17	ROBINS CLOUD LLP				
15	808 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 450 Santa Monica, CA 90401				
16	Telephone: (301) 929-4200				
1.7	Facsimile: (301) 566-5900				
17					
18	Co-Lead Counsel for Individual Plaintiffs				
19					
20	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
21	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
l					
22	Coordination Proceeding Special Title	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding			
23	(Rule 3.550)	No. 4955			
24	CALIFORNIA NORTH BAY FIRE	MASTER COMPLAINT – INDIVIDUAL			
25	CASES	PLAINTIFFS			
26		Judge: Honorable Curtis E.A. Karnow			
		Department: 304			
27		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED			
28		THE DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT			

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT, Carse: 19-30088 SOUTH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2					Page		
3	 I. I	NTRO	DUCT	TON	1		
4	HI. J	JURISDICTION AND VENUE					
5	III.	ГНЕ Р.	ARTIE	S	4		
6		4.	PLAIN	VTIFFS	4		
7]	3.	DEFE	NDANTS	5		
8		C.	DOE I	DEFENDANTS	7		
9	 	Э.	AGEN	CY & CONCERT OF ACTION	8		
10	IV. S	STATE	EMEN	OF FACTS	8		
11	1	4.	PG&E	IS REQUIRED TO SAFELY DESIGN, OPERATE, AND MA	INTAIN		
12			ITS EI	LECTRICAL SYSTEMS	8		
13	1	3.	PG&E	'S HISTORY OF SAFETY FAILURES	10		
14			1.	PG&E'S Long History of Safety Violations	10		
15			2.	The 1981 San Francisco Gas Explosion	11		
16			3.	The 1991 Santa Rosa Gas Explosion	11		
17			4.	The 1994 Trauner Fire	11		
18			5.	The 1996 Mission Substation Electrical Fire	12		
19			6.	The 1999 Pendola Fire	12		
20			7.	The 2003 Mission District Substation Fire	12		
21			8.	The 2004 Sims Fire	13		
22			9.	The 2004 Freds Fire	13		
23			10.	The 2004 Power Fire	13		
24			11.	The 2005 San Francisco Electrical Explosion	13		
25			12.	The 2008 Rancho Cordova Explosion	14		
26			13.	The 2008 Whiskey Fire	14		
27			14.	The 2009 San Francisco Electrical Explosion	15		
28			15.	The 2010 San Bruno Explosion			
LAW OFFICES Cotchett, Case: 1 McCarthy, LLP	MAST) 9-30088		MPLA c# 28	INT – INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS 42-2 Filed: 07/02/19 Entered: 07/02/19 18:04:42 P of 58	i Page 3		

1		16.	The 2011 Cupertino Explosion	6
2		17.	The 2014 Carmel Explosion	6
3		18.	The 2015 San Francisco Transformer Explosion	6
4		19.	The 2015 Butte Fire	7
5		20.	PG&E's Conduct After the Butte Fires Reflect Its Conscious Disregard fo	r
6			Public Safety	7
7	C.	THE	NORTH BAY FIRES BROUGHT DEATH AND DESTRUCTION TO	
8		NOR'	THERN CALIFORNIA19	9
9	E.	THE	DEADLY AND DESTRUCTIVE NORTH BAY FIRES2	5
10		1.	The Atlas Fire	5
11		2.	The Cascade/LaPorte Fires	7
12		3.	The Cherokee Fire	8
13		4.	The Honey Fire	8
14		5.	The Lobo Fire	9
15		6.	The Maacama or No Name Fire	9
16		7.	The McCourtney Fire	9
17		8.	The Nuns Fire	0
18		9.	The Pocket Fire	2
19		10.	The Point Fire	3
20		11.	The Redwood Valley/Potter Fires	3
21		12.	The Sullivan Fire	4
22		13.	The Sulphur Fire	5
23		14.	The Tubbs Fire	6
24		15.	The Highway 37 Fire	7
25	F.	PG&l	E'S ACTS AND OMISSIONS CAUSED AND CONTRIBUTED TO	
26		CAU	SING THE NORTH BAY FIRES	7
27		1.	The 2013 Liberty Report Found that PG&E's Distribution System	
28			Presented "Significant Safety Issues"	7

LAW OFFICES

MASTER COMPLAINT – INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

1		2.	The 2013 Liberty Report Found that PG&E's Wires Were Highly
2			Susceptible to Failure Due to Age
3		3.	PG&E Failed to Inspect, Maintain, Repair, and/or Replace Its Equipment
4			
5		4.	PG&E Failed to Ensure Its Infrastructure Could Withstand Foreseeable
6			Weather Conditions as Required by Law41
7		5.	PG&E's Unsafe Use of Reclosers
8		6.	PG&E Knew That Its Down-Guy Design Was Flawed and Could Cause
9			Ground Currents That Create Arcing and Spark Vegetation
10		7.	PG&E's Reckless Adoption of the VMII Program Where It Paid Its
11			Contractors to Cut Fewer Trees
12		8.	PG&E Failed to Fully Employ LiDAR to Identify Hazard Trees 46
13		9.	PG&E Failed to Treat the Conditions of Its Aging Electrical Assets as an
14			Enterprise-Level Risk
15		10.	PG&E's "Run to Failure" Approach to Maintenance
16		11.	PG&E's Purchase of Insurance Coverage for Punitive Damages
17	G.	PG&I	E'S CORPORATE CULTURE IS THE ROOT CAUSE OF THE NORTH
18		BAY	FIRES
19	V. CAUS	SES OF	ACTION
20	FIRST CAUS	E OF A	ACTION
21	NEGLIGENO	EE	
22	SECOND CA	USE C	F ACTION
23	WRONGFUL	DEA1	TH
24	THIRD CAU	SE OF	ACTION55
25	SURVIVAL A	ACTIO	N
26	FOURTH CA	USE C	OF ACTION
27	INVERSE CO	ONDEN	MNATION
28	FIFTH CAUS	SE OF A	ACTION 58

- 1		
1	PUBLIC NUISANCE	58
2	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION	61
3	PRIVATE NUISANCE	61
4	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	61
5	PREMISES LIABILITY	61
6	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION	62
7	TRESPASS	62
8	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION	63
9	PRIVATE ACTION UNDER PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE § 2106	63
10	TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	65
11	VIOLATION OF HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 13007	65
12	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	66
13	NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	66
14	VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
15	VII. JURY DEMAND	70
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
/ X		

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

PLAINTIFFS bring

CORPORATION, PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 20

this action for damages against

Defendants PG&E

1

(collectively, "DEFENDANTS") as follows:

INTRODUCTION I.

1. This case arises from PG&E CORPORATION and/or PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY's (collectively, "PG&E") longstanding corporate culture of decisionmaking that places profits over public safety. PG&E's well-documented disregard for safety regulations and risk management practices, along with their blind eye towards the use of effective maintenance and inspection practices for their facilities and equipment, lies at the root of the various factors which caused and/or contributed to causing the most destructive and deadly wildfires California has ever seen (collectively, the "North Bay Fires").

2. On or around the night of Sunday, October 8, 2017, the North Bay Fires started when a system disturbance on the electrical grid constructed, owned, operated, managed, and/or maintained by PG&E caused transformers designed, constructed, owned, operated, managed, and/or maintained by PG&E to fail, fault, spark, and/or explode, causing energized power lines constructed, owned, operated, managed, and/or maintained by PG&E to burn and/or fall down. These downed lines sparked nearby vegetation, igniting fires simultaneously across multiple counties. Other fires caused electrical currents to flow through down guys owned, designed, operated, managed and/or maintained by **PG&E**, creating arcing at ground level in dry grass. The arcing from down guys at or around ground level sparked fires in and around vegetation. All of these events, and others, including but not limited to conductors, poles, insulators, reclosers, and/or other electrical equipment constructed, owned, operated, managed, and/or maintained by PG&E that fell down, broke, failed, sparked, exploded, and/or came into contact with vegetation, caused and contributed to causing the North Bay Fires. Although the numerous fires constituting the North Bay Fires have different points or origin, they all share the same underlying causes and arose from PG&E's disregard of mandated safety practices and foreseeable hazardous risks associated with its infrastructure.

28

9-30088

MASTER COMPLAINT - INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

Entered: 07/02/19 18:04:42 Filed: 07/02/19 Page 7 of 58



PG&E Equipment on October 9, 2017, in Fountaingrove, a Neighborhood Decimated by the Tubbs Fire¹

3. Over the following days, the North Bay Fires spread rapidly and caused extensive damage throughout Northern California, including populated neighborhoods and sprawling vineyards. The North Bay Fires claimed the lives of at least 44 individuals, injured many others, burned over 245,000 acres, and destroyed over 14,700 homes. The following fires in Sonoma, Napa, Mendocino, Solano, Lake, Butte, Calaveras, Nevada, and Yuba Counties are collectively referred to as the North Bay Fires, including: the Adobe, Atlas, Cascade, Cherokee, Honey, LaPorte, Lobo, Maacama, McCourtney, Norrbom, Nuns, Oakmont, Partrick, Pocket, Point, Potter, Pressley, Redwood Valley, Sullivan, Sulphur, Tubbs, and Highway 37 Fires.

24 || / / /

///

///

-30088

 $_{25}\parallel$

¹ http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/10/25/pge-missed-electricity-inspections-violated-safety-rules-in-bay-area-including-north-bay-audits/ (last accessed February 2, 2018).

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

Map of the North Bay Fires²

4. As set forth in more detail in the following pages, based on multiple reports, audits, investigations, and/or interviews, it is clear that the North Bay Fires were an inevitable byproduct of **PG&E's** willful and conscious disregard of public safety. **PG&E**, although mandated to do so, failed to identify, inspect, manage, and/or control vegetation growth near its power lines and/or other electrical equipment. This created a foreseeable danger of trees and/or other vegetation coming into contact with **PG&E's** power lines and/or other electrical equipment and causing electrical problems. Further, **PG&E** failed to construct, manage, track, monitor, maintain, operate, replace, repair, and/or improve its power lines, poles, transformers, conductors, insulators, reclosers, and/or other electrical equipment in a safe manner, despite being aware that its infrastructure was aging, unsafe, likely to cause fires, and/or vulnerable to environmental

² Derived from Cal Fire map at http://www.fire.ca.gov/general/firemaps (last accessed February 12, 2018).

conditions. Finally, **PG&E** failed to adequately design, maintain, replace, repair, and/or improve its anchors and/or down guys, despite being aware from prior fires that these anchors and/or down guys could cause fires when ground currents exist.

- 5. **PG&E** knew about the significant risk of wildfires and other disasters from its ineffective vegetation management programs, unsafe equipment, and/or aging infrastructure for decades before the North Bay Fires began and, as described below, has been repeatedly fined and/or convicted of crimes for causing wildfires, explosions, and other disasters by failing to mitigate these risks.
- 6. Wildfires, explosions, and other devastating events have resulted from **PG&E's** long history of choosing to divert funds from its public safety, vegetation management, and/or infrastructure maintenance programs to instead line its own corporate pockets.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§ 395(a) and 410.10 because Defendants, and/or each of them, reside in, are incorporated in, and/or do significant business in the County of San Francisco, State of California. The amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 404.3 and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.540. The Honorable Curtis E.A. Karnow of the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco was assigned as the Coordination Trial Judge for this action.

III. THE PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFFS

9. **PLAINTIFFS** are individuals and/or business entities who suffered and/or continue to suffer personal injuries, property losses, and/or other damages from the North Bay Fires, including but not limited to the Adobe, Atlas, Cascade, Cherokee, Honey, LaPorte, Lobo, Maacama, McCourtney, Norrbom, Nuns, Oakmont, Partrick, Pocket, Point, Potter, Pressley, Redwood Valley, Sullivan, Sulphur, Tubbs, and/or Highway 37 Fires.

of 58

12

15

16

14

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

B. DEFENDANTS

- 10. At all times herein mentioned Defendants PG&E CORPORATION and PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY were corporations authorized to do business and were doing business in the State of California with their principal place of business in the County of San Francisco, State of California. Defendant PG&E CORPORATION is an energy-based holding company headquartered in San Francisco. It is the parent company of Defendant PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY. PG&E CORPORATION and PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY provide public utility services, including the generation of electricity and the transmission and distribution of electricity and natural gas to millions of customers in Northern and Central California, including the residents of Butte, Calaveras, Lake, Mendocino, Napa, Nevada, Solano, Sonoma, and Yuba Counties.
- 11. PLAINTIFFS allege that PG&E CORPORATION and PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY are jointly and severally liable for each other's wrongful acts and/or omissions as hereafter alleged, in that:
 - a. PG&E CORPORATION and PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY operate as a single business enterprise operating out of the same building located at 77 Beale St, San Francisco, California for the purpose of effectuating and carrying out PG&E CORPORATION's business and operations and/or for the benefit of PG&E CORPORATION;
 - b. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY and PG&E CORPORATION
 do not operate as completely separate entities, but rather, integrate their
 resources to achieve a common business purpose;
 - c. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY is so organized and controlled, and its decisions, affairs and business so conducted as to make it an instrumentality, agent, conduit and/or adjunct of PG&E CORPORATION;
 - d. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY's income contribution results from its function, integration, centralization of management and economies of scale with PG&E CORPORATION;

28

1	e.	PACIFIC	GAS	&	ELECTRIC	COMPANY's	and	PG&E
2		CORPORA	TION's	office	ers and managem	ent are intertwine	d and d	lo not act
3		completely i	ndepende	ent of	one another;			
4	f.	PACIFIC	GAS	&	ELECTRIC	COMPANY's	and	PG&E
5		CORPORA	TION's	offic	ers and manage	rs act in the inte	erest of	f PG&E
6		CORPORA	TION as	s a sin	gle enterprise;			
7	g.	PG&E CO	RPORA'	TION	has control and	l authority to cho	ose and	d appoint
8		PACIFIC C	SAS & E	LEC	TRIC COMPAN	NY's board member	ers as w	vell as its
9		other top off	icers and	l mana	igers;			
10	h.	Despite both	being El	lectric	Companies and	Public Utilities, PA	ACIFIC	C GAS &
11		ELECTRIC	COMP	ANY	and PG&E COR	RPORATION do r	ot com	pete with
12		one another,	but have	been	structured, organ	ized, and business	es effec	tuated so
13		as to create a	synergis	tic, in	tegrated single en	terprise where var	ious cor	mponents
14		operate in co	oncert wi	th one	with another;			
15	i.	PG&E CO	RPORA	TION	N maintains uni	fied administrativ	e cont	trol over
16		PACIFIC G	SAS & E	LECT	TRIC COMPAN	IY;		
17	j.	PACIFIC C	SAS & E	LEC	TRIC COMPAN	NY and PG&E CO	ORPOF	RATION
18		are insured b	y the san	ne car	riers and provide	uniform or similar	pensio	n, health,
19		life and disa	bility ins	urance	e plans for emplo	yees;		
20	k.	PACIFIC O	GAS & E	LEC	TRIC COMPAN	NY and PG&E CO	ORPOF	RATION
21		have unified	401(k)	Plans	, pensions and in	nvestment plans, b	onus p	orograms,
22		vacation pol	icies and	paid t	time off from wo	rk schedules and p	olicies;	
23	1.	PACIFIC C	GAS & E	CLEC	TRIC COMPAN	NY and PG&E CO	ORPOF	RATION
24		invest these	funds fi	om tl	neir programs an	d plans by a con	solidate	ed and/or
25		coordinated	Benefits	Com	nittee controlled	by PG&E CORP	ORAT	ION and
26		administered	l by com	mon t	rustees and admir	nistrators;		
27	m.	PACIFIC C	SAS & E	LEC'	TRIC COMPAN	NY and PG&E CO	ORPOF	RATION
28		have unified	personn	el pol	icies and practic	es and/or a consol	idated p	personnel

1		organization or structure;
2		n. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY and PG&E CORPORATION
3		have unified accounting policies and practices dictated by PG&E
4		CORPORATION and/or common or integrated accounting organizations or
5		personnel;
6		o. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY and PG&E CORPORATION
7		are represented by common legal counsel;
8		p. PG&E CORPORATION's officers, directors, and other management make
9		policies and decisions to be effectuated by PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC
10		COMPANY and/or otherwise play roles in providing directions and making
11		decisions for PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY;
12		q. PG&E CORPORATION's officers, directors, and other management direct
13		certain financial decisions for PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
14		including the amount and nature of capital outlays;
15		r. PG&E CORPORATION's written guidelines, policies, and procedures
16		control PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, its employees, policies,
17		and practices;
18		s. PG&E CORPORATION files consolidated earnings statements factoring all
19		revenue and losses from PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY as well
20		as consolidated tax returns, including those seeking tax relief; and/or, without
21		limitation; and
22		t. PG&E CORPORATION generally directs and controls PACIFIC GAS &
23		ELECTRIC COMPANY's relationship with, requests to, and responses to
24		inquiries from, the Public Utilities Commission and uses such direction and
25		control for the benefit of PG&E CORPORATION.
26	C.	DOE DEFENDANTS
27	12.	The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or
28	otherwise of th	e Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to PLAINTIFFS who
S	MASTER CO	MPLAINT – INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

9

1112

13

14 15

16 17

18

20

21

19

2223

2425

2627

28

-30088

therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474. **PLAINTIFFS** further allege that each of said fictitious Defendants is in some manner responsible for the acts and occurrences hereinafter set forth. **PLAINTIFFS** will amend this Master Complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same are ascertained, as well as the

D. AGENCY & CONCERT OF ACTION

manner in which each fictitious Defendant is responsible.

13. At all times mentioned herein, **DEFENDANTS**, and/or each of them, hereinabove, were the agents, servants, employees, partners, aiders and abettors, co-conspirators, and/or joint venturers of each of the other **DEFENDANTS** named herein and were at all times operating and acting within the purpose and scope of said agency, service, employment, partnership, enterprise, conspiracy, and/or joint venture, and each **DEFENDANT** has ratified and approved the acts of each of the remaining **DEFENDANTS**. Each of the **DEFENDANTS** aided and abetted, encouraged, and rendered substantial assistance to the other **DEFENDANTS** in breaching their obligations to **PLAINTIFFS** as alleged herein. In taking action to aid and abet and substantially assist the commission of these wrongful acts and other wrongdoings complained of, as alleged herein, each of the **DEFENDANTS** acted with an awareness of his/her/its primary wrongdoing and realized that his/her/its conduct would substantially assist the accomplishment of the wrongful conduct, wrongful goals, and wrongdoing.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. PG&E IS REQUIRED TO SAFELY DESIGN, OPERATE, AND MAINTAIN ITS ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS

- 14. **PG&E** owns, installs, constructs, operates, and maintains overhead power lines, together with supporting poles and appurtenances throughout Northern and Central California for the purpose of transmitting and distributing electricity to the general public. These lines and equipment were located at and around the origin points for the North Bay Fires.
- 15. Electrical infrastructure is inherently dangerous and hazardous, and **PG&E** recognizes it as such. The transmission and distribution of electricity requires **PG&E** to exercise an increased level of care in line with the increased risk of associated danger.

-30088

16. At all times **PG&E** had and continues to have a duty to properly construct, inspect, repair, maintain, manage, and/or operate its power lines and/or other electrical equipment. **PG&E** also has a duty to keep vegetation properly trimmed and maintained to prevent foreseeable contact with its electrical equipment.

- 17. In the construction, inspection, repair, maintenance, management, ownership, and/or operation of its power lines and other electrical equipment, **PG&E** had an obligation to comply with, *inter alia*: (a) Code of Civil Procedure § 733; (b) Public Resource Code §§ 4292, 4293, and 4435; (c) Public Utilities Code § 451; and (d) General Order Numbers 95 and 165.
- 18. California's drought years increased the risk of wildfire and consequently heightened **PG&E's** duty of care in the prevention of wildfires. In January 2014, Governor Edmund Gerald Brown, Jr. declared a state of emergency due to California's continued drought. In June 2014, pursuant to Resolution ESRB-4, the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") directed **PG&E** and all investor-owned utilities to take remedial measures to reduce the likelihood of fires started by or threatening utility facilities.³ In addition, the CPUC informed **PG&E** it could seek recovery of incremental costs associated with these remedial measures outside of the standard funding process, agreeing to provide additional funding on top of vegetation management funding already authorized to ensure remedial measures would not go unperformed due to lack of funding.
- 19. In early 2017, the CPUC issued a Fact Sheet on "PG&E Vegetation Management Spending," directing PG&E to take increased efforts to reduce fire risk due to the drought emergency: "Although the Governor issued an Executive Order in April 2017 ending the Drought State of Emergency, the declaration directed state agencies 'to continue response activities that may be needed to manage the lingering drought impacts to people and wildlife.' The California Tree Mortality State of Emergency issued in October 2015 by Governor Brown regarding the bark beetle infestation and resulting tree mortality remains in effect. The CPUC has not rescinded

³ http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M096/K415/96415169.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

4 5

6

7 8 9

101112

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

ESRB-4, and work by the utilities to comply with it and the Tree Mortality Emergency continues."4

- 20. **PG&E** knew or should have known that these statutory and regulatory standards are minimum standards. **PG&E** knew or should have known that it has: (a) a duty to identify vegetation that is dead, diseased, and/or dying, or that otherwise poses a foreseeable hazard to power lines and/or other electrical equipment; and (b) a duty to manage the growth of vegetation near its power lines and equipment so as to prevent the foreseeable danger of contact between vegetation and power lines starting a fire.
- 21. Further, **PG&E** has a duty to manage, maintain, repair, and/or replace its aging infrastructure to protect public safety. These objectives could and should have been accomplished in a number of ways, including, but not limited to, putting electrical equipment in wildfire-prone areas underground, increasing inspections, developing and implementing protocols to shut down electrical operations in emergency situations, modernizing infrastructure, and/or obtaining an independent audit of its risk management programs to ensure effectiveness.
- 22. **PG&E** knew or should have known that failure to comply and conform to applicable standards and duties constituted negligence and would expose members of the general public to a risk of death, injury, and/or damage to their property.

B. PG&E'S HISTORY OF SAFETY FAILURES

1. PG&E'S Long History of Safety Violations

- 23. Over the past thirty-plus years, **PG&E** has been subject to numerous fines, penalties, and/or convictions as a result of its failure to abide by safety rules and regulations, including the following fines, penalties, and/or convictions. Despite these recurring punishments, **PG&E** refuses to modify its behavior, and has continued to conduct its business with a conscious disregard for the safety of the public, including **PLAINTIFFS**.
- 24. As detailed below, the North Bay Fires are among the many tragedies that have resulted from **PG&E's** enduring failure to protect the public from the dangers associated with its operations. **PG&E** power lines, transformers, conductors, poles, insulators, and/or other electrical

LAW OFFICES

⁴ http://cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/Content/Safety/PGE%20Vegetation%20Management%20Spending.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

-30088

equipment have repeatedly started wildfires due to **PG&E's** ongoing failure to create, manage, implement, and/or maintain effective vegetation management programs for the areas near and around its electrical equipment. Further, **PG&E's** deteriorating and carelessly maintained infrastructure has caused multiple disasters throughout California.

2. The 1981 San Francisco Gas Explosion

25. A **PG&E** gas main in downtown San Francisco exploded in 1981, forcing 30,000 people to evacuate. It took workers nine hours to shut off the gas main's manual shut-off valves and stop the flow of gas that continued to feed the flames in the interim.

3. The 1991 Santa Rosa Gas Explosion

26. Two people were killed and three others were injured when a **PG&E** gas line exploded in Santa Rosa in December 1991. The pipeline was improperly marked, failing to give proper notice to contractors working in the area. A contractor hit the pipe with a backhoe, causing the pipe to leak and explode several months later.

4. The 1994 Trauner Fire

- 27. In 1994, **PG&E's** failure to maintain the vegetation surrounding its electrical equipment caused a devastating wildfire in Nevada County, California. This Fire, commonly known as the "Trauner Fire" or the "Rough and Ready Fire," burned approximately 500 acres in and around the town of Rough and Ready, destroyed 12 homes, and burned 22 structures, including a historic schoolhouse that was built in 1868.
- 28. Investigators determined that the Trauner Fire began when a 21,000-volt power line brushed against a tree limb that **PG&E** was supposed to keep trimmed. Through random spot inspections, the investigators found several hundred safety violations in the area near the Trauner Fire. Approximately 200 of these violations involved contact between vegetation and one of **PG&E's** power lines. As a result, on or around June 19, 1997, **PG&E** was convicted of 739 counts of criminal negligence and required to pay \$24 million in penalties.
- 29. After the trial, a 1998 CPUC report revealed that **PG&E** diverted \$77.6 million from its tree-trimming budget to other uses from 1987 to 1994. During that same time, **PG&E** under spent its authorized budgets for maintaining its systems by \$495 million and instead, used

10

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

18

17

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

-30088

this money to boost corporate profits. Despite this public outing, PG&E continued its corporate culture of putting profits before safety.

5. The 1996 Mission Substation Electrical Fire

30. At approximately 1:00 a.m. on November 27, 1996, a cable splice at PG&E's Mission Substation in San Francisco short-circuited, burning and melting the insulation around the splice. Smoke from the fire rose through a floor opening above the splice into a switch cabinet. That smoke was so thick that it caused a flashover between phases of the bus bars connecting the overhead N bus to the switch. This caused insulation on the N bus to ignite and a circuit breaker to open, resulting in the loss of power to a group of PG&E customers. The substation was unmanned at the time and the fire was only discovered by chance by an employee who had stopped by the substation to use the restroom.

6. The 1999 Pendola Fire

31. A rotten pine, which the federal government determined PG&E should have removed, fell on a power line, starting the Pendola Fire in 1999. It burned for 11 days and scorched 11,725 acres, mainly in the Tahoe and Plumas National Forests. **PG&E** paid a \$14.75 million settlement to the U.S. Forest Service in 2009. That year, the utility also reached a \$22.7 million settlement with the CPUC after regulators found PG&E had not spent money earmarked for tree trimming and removal toward those purposes.

7. The 2003 Mission District Substation Fire

- 32. In December 2003, a fire broke out at PG&E's Mission District Substation in San Francisco. Despite signs of trouble appearing at control centers, the fire burned for nearly two hours before PG&E operators showed up at the Substation, found it full of smoke, and finally called the fire department. The source of the fire was not located until five hours after it began. As a result, nearly one-third of San Francisco's residents and business owners lost power, with some waiting over 24 hours for their power to be restored.
- 33. The CPUC report of the investigation, which was released in 2004, illustrated PG&E's careless approach to safety and apparent inability to learn from its past mistakes. An excerpt from the report describes the following:

The findings related to the Mission Substation Fire should have been a wake-up call to **PG&E** to revamp its operating procedures to prevent future disasters. Instead, **PG&E's** focus remained on corporate profits, while safety was relegated to the backburner.

8. The 2004 Sims Fire

34. In July 2004, the Sims Fire burned over 4,000 acres of forest land in the Six Rivers and Trinity National Forests. A federal lawsuit alleged that **PG&E** failed to remove a decaying tree, which fell on a transmission line and ignited the blaze.

9. The 2004 Freds Fire

35. The Freds Fire started in October 2004 near Kyburz, El Dorado County, California. A lawsuit filed by the United States Government claimed that employees of **PG&E's** contractor lost control of a large tree they were cutting down. It fell onto a **PG&E** power line and caused a fire that burned over 7,500 acres. **PG&E** and its contractors paid \$29.5 million to settle the lawsuits over the Freds Fire and the Sims Fire.

10. The 2004 Power Fire

36. In October 2004, the Power Fire burned approximately 17,000 acres on the Eldorado National Forest and on private timberlands. A federal lawsuit alleged that the Power Fire was ignited by a lit cigarette that was dropped by a **PG&E** tree trimming contractor. **PG&E** and its contractor paid the federal government \$45 million to settle the lawsuit.

11. The 2005 San Francisco Electrical Explosion

37. In August 2005, a PG&E electrical transformer exploded in the San Francisco

MASTER COMPLAINT – INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

25

26

27

28

⁵ http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/publishedDocs/published/Report/40886.PDF (last accessed February 12, 2018).

financial district at Kearny and Post Streets, severely burning a woman who had been walking by.

A lawsuit by the injured woman settled for an undisclosed sum.

12. The 2008 Rancho Cordova Explosion

- 38. In December 2008, a gas leak from a **PG&E** pipe caused an explosion in Rancho Cordova, California. This explosion left one person dead, injured several others, and caused over \$260,000 in property damage.
- 39. A National Transportation Safety Board ("NTSB") investigation revealed that the leak was caused by incorrect repairs performed by **PG&E** in 2006, at which time **PG&E** installed a piece of pipe to patch up an earlier leak. The investigative report for the incident concluded that the walls of the new pipe were too thin, allowing gas to leak from the pipe, and that **PG&E** failed to timely send properly trained personnel to check out the leak, even though **PG&E** had been told several months earlier that its emergency plans fell below required standards. Specifically, the report noted the following:

Contributing to the accident was the 2-hour 47-minute delay in the arrival at the job site of a Pacific Gas and Electric Company crew that was properly trained and equipped to identify and classify outdoor leaks and to begin response activities to ensure the safety of the residents and public.⁶

40. In November 2010, the CPUC filed administrative charges against **PG&E** in connection with the Rancho Cordova explosion, alleging that **PG&E** was at fault for the blast and that **PG&E** should have discovered the improper repair job that caused the explosion, but failed to timely do so. As a result, the CPUC required **PG&E** to pay a \$38 million fine.

13. The 2008 Whiskey Fire

41. The June 2008 Whiskey Fire burned more than 5,000 acres of land in the Mendocino National Forest. The fire started when a gray pine tree that did not have the required clearance from a **PG&E** transmission line came into contact with the line. **PG&E** and its contractors agreed to pay \$5.5 million to settle a federal lawsuit.

⁶ http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/published/Final_decision/146914-03.htm (last accessed February 12, 2018).

4 5

6

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

14. The 2009 San Francisco Electrical Explosion

42. In June 2009, a PG&E underground electrical vault exploded in San Francisco's Tenderloin neighborhood, sending 30-foot flames and smoke into the air for two hours. This explosion left thousands of people without power.

15. The 2010 San Bruno Explosion

- 43. On September 9, 2010, PG&E's continued disregard of public safety caused the death of eight people, injured 58 people, and destroyed an entire neighborhood in San Bruno, California when one of its gas pipelines exploded and burst into flames. Subsequent to the explosion, the NTSB issued a report that blamed the disaster on PG&E's poor management of its pipeline. In January 2011, federal investigators reported that the probable cause of the accident was: (i) PG&E's inadequate quality assurance and quality control during its Line 132 pipeline relocation project, which allowed the installation of a substandard and poorly-welded pipe section; and (ii) PG&E's inadequate pipeline integrity management program, which failed to detect and remove the defective pipe section.
- 44. As a result, PG&E was required to pay substantial fines for its massive safety violations. In April 2015, the CPUC slapped PG&E with a \$1.6 billion fine for causing the explosion and diverting maintenance funds into stockholder dividends and executive bonuses. Further, in January 2017, a federal judge convicted **PG&E** of six felony charges and ordered it to pay \$3 million in fines for causing the explosion.
- 45. Due to PG&E's corporate culture which repeatedly ignored public safety, the CPUC launched an investigation into the manner by which PG&E officers, directors, and/or managing agents establish safety policies and practices to prevent catastrophic events. At the beginning of the investigation, the CPUC President called out PG&E's ongoing safety violations:

Despite major public attention, ongoing CPUC investigations (OIIs) and rulemakings (OIRs) into PG&E's actions and operations, including the investigations we voted on today, federal grand jury, and California

of 58

LAW OFFICES

3

5

8

9

10

- 11
- 12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

2728

-30088

Department of Justice investigation, continued safety lapses at PG&E continue to occur.⁷

16. The 2011 Cupertino Explosion

- 46. After the San Bruno explosion, in September 2011, **PG&E** caused a gas explosion that partially engulfed a condominium in Cupertino, California. The explosion was the result of cracked Aldyl-A plastic pipe.
- 47. Prior to the explosion, the manufacture of Aldyl-A, the NTSB, and the federal Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration had all issued warnings about this type of plastic pipe that was prone to premature brittleness, cracking, and failure dating back to at least 2002. Despite these warnings and **PG&E's** knowledge of this risk, **PG&E** did nothing to prevent the explosion. Although some utilities around the United States had been replacing Aldyl-A pipes, **PG&E** did not have a replacement program to phase them out and adequately protect the public.

17. The 2014 Carmel Explosion

- 48. In March 2014, a home in Carmel, California was destroyed due to a gas explosion caused by **PG&E**. Prior to the explosion, **PG&E** was attempting to replace a gas distribution line, but **PG&E's** legally inadequate records did not show that the steel pipe had a plastic insert. When crews dug into the steel pipe to perform the replacement, the unknown plastic insert was pierced, allowing gas to leak through the pipe and into the residence.
- 49. The CPUC once again required **PG&E** to pay a massive fine because of their wrongdoing. In August 2016, the CPUC imposed a \$25.6 million fine on **PG&E**. With a \$10.85 million citation previously paid by **PG&E** in 2015 for the explosion, **PG&E** was required to pay a total of over \$36 million in penalties for its shoddy recordkeeping and disregard of public safety.

18. The 2015 San Francisco Transformer Explosion

50. In September 2015, a **PG&E** underground transformer exploded in San Francisco's Bernal Heights neighborhood. This explosion injured two people, one of them critically.

⁷ http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/Content/About_Us/Organization/Commissioners/Michael_J._Picker/PresidentPickerCommentsonPGESafetyCultureandEnforcementTheory.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

LAW OFFICES

19. The 2015 Butte Fire

- 51. Tragedy struck yet again in September 2015, when **PG&E's** inadequate and ineffective vegetation management programs resulted in the Butte Fire in the Sierra foothills. The Butte Fire burned for 22 days across Amador and Calaveras Counties, killed two people, destroyed 921 homes and/or structures, and charred over 70,000 acres.
- 52. Similar to the other disasters caused by **PG&E's** wrongdoing, the Butte Fire could have been prevented by **PG&E**. The Butte Fire was ignited by a gray pine tree that grew and came into contact with one of **PG&E's** power lines. **PG&E** knew that gray pines posed the highest risk of catastrophic wildfires, but failed to identify and/or remove the dangerous tree pursuant to its vegetation management practices. Instead, **PG&E** removed the two trees surrounding the gray pine at issue, which exposed the gray pine to sunlight and allowed it to quickly come into contact with **PG&E's** power line. Indeed, in **PG&E's** prepared testimony to the Public Utilities Commission Safety Model Assessment Proceeding, dated May 1, 2015, the company expressly stated that it was accepting the risk posed by outages in the range of 17 per 1,000 miles, less than 0.02 percent of trees in contact with its lines, and a small number of wildfires caused by **PG&E** equipment each year. As such, **PG&E** consciously chose not to mitigate those risks further, thereby exposing Plaintiffs to the risk of wildfire.
- 53. Subsequent to the Butte Fire, in April 2017, the CPUC fined **PG&E** a total of \$8.3 million for "failing to maintain its 12kV overhead conductors safely and properly" and failing to maintain a minimum distance between its power lines and vegetation. Cal Fire also sent **PG&E** a bill for \$90 million to cover state firefighting costs. Despite these consequences, **PG&E** did not change, revise, or improve any of its vegetation management practices after the Butte Fire, paving the way for another massive wildfire.

20. PG&E's Conduct After the Butte Fires Reflect Its Conscious Disregard for Public Safety

54. The Butte Fire was not an isolated incident, as shown by **PG&E's** long history of safety lapses that caused injury or death to many California residents, and destroyed or damaged their property.

Page 23

- 55. The North Bay Fires started approximately three years after the Butte Fire, where a 44-foot tall, weak and spindly gray pine tree that should have been removed by **PG&E** struck a 12,000-volt overhead power line that was owned and operated by **PG&E**. The resulting fire burned for 22 days, killing two people, burning over 70,000 acres, destroying and damaging 475 residences, 343 outbuildings, and 45 other structures. The fire also left tens of thousands of dead or dying trees and the risk of water pollution and erosion in its wake. Thousands of people were forced to evacuate their homes, and thousands were damaged in their person and property.
- 56. **PG&E's** actions leading up to the Butte Fire illustrate its conscious disregard of public safety, as follows:
 - *First*, **PG&E chose** to not ensure that properly qualified and trained inspectors were being used by its contractors to identify hazard trees.
 - Second, PG&E chose not to verify that its quality assurance audits were properly conducted.
 - Third, PG&E directed its inspection contractor to hire inspectors that they
 knew did not meet the minimum qualifications required by PG&E's own
 specifications.
 - Fourth, PG&E chose not to train inspectors on PG&E's hazardous tree rating system ("HTRS").
 - Fifth, PG&E chose not to verify that its contractor trained inspectors on the HTRS.
 - Sixth, PG&E chose not to require inspectors to use the HTRS.
 - Seventh, **PG&E** knew that wildfires caused by contact between vegetation and its power lines posed the highest degree of risk to the public.
 - Eighth, PG&E knew that its vegetation management program failed to identify over 500,000 trees annually that were closer than the required distance away from its power lines.

-30088

- Ninth, PG&E knew that its inspectors failed every year to identify tens of thousands of "facility protect trees" or "hazard trees" that were dead, diseased, and/or dying, or that otherwise posed a risk of contacting a power line.
- *Finally,* **PG&E did nothing** to remove those trees, one of which was the 44-foot tall, weak, and spindly gray pine tree that started the Butte Fire.
- 57. In April 2017, the CPUC fined **PG&E** a total of \$8.3 million because of the Butte Fire for "failing to maintain its 12kV overhead conductors safely and properly" and failing to maintain a minimum distance between its power lines and vegetation. Cal Fire also sent **PG&E** a bill for \$90 million to cover state firefighting costs.
- After the Butte Fire, **PG&E** did not change, revise, or improve any of its vegetation management practices, and its managers, executives, and directors astoundingly and repeatedly testified at their depositions that none of **PG&E's** programs had failed to prevent the Butte Fire, and that none of **PG&E's** employees had done anything at all to contribute to the cause of the Butte Fire. This blind arrogance paved the way for the future disasters that came to pass with the ignition of the North Bay Fires in October 2017.

C. THE NORTH BAY FIRES BROUGHT DEATH AND DESTRUCTION TO NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

- 59. On Sunday, October 8, 2017, tragedy struck communities across Northern California when a series of fires began to spark and spread. These deadly fires quickly spread through neighborhoods and destroyed everything in their path, including residences, vegetation, structures, and businesses.
- 60. The North Bay Fires are collectively the most destructive fires in California's history. In just a few weeks, the fires caused the deaths of at least 44 people, hospitalized over 185 individuals, displaced about 100,000 people who were forced to leave their homes and search for safety, burned over 245,000 acres, and damaged or destroyed an estimated 14,700 homes, 3,600 vehicles, and 728 businesses.
- 61. **PG&E** caused and/or contributed to causing the North Bay Fires. As the North Bay Fires started to rage, emergency responders received many calls regarding electrical problems,

11

9

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

-30088

transformer explosions, transformer fires, arcing transformers, down power lines, arcing power lines, and/or flames in trees.⁸ Witnesses observed, reported and described downed power lines, exploding transformers, improper fuses, improper connections, improper clearances, aged and defective poles, and unrepaired poles in the areas in and around the North Bay Fires.

- 62. Following the same negligent conduct that led to the Butte Fire, **PG&E** continued to adhere to the practices that served to increase the risk of wildfires leading up to the North Bay Fires:
 - Reclosers in PG&E's system were set to avoid outages and not to avoid fires,
 even though fire conditions were known to be extreme.
 - PG&E failed to have a reasonable system in place to make sure its contractors
 were properly performing tree and/or vegetation inspections and removal, pole
 clearance, and pole inspections.
 - **PG&E** failed to take any steps to look for what it calls "Facility Protect Trees" (trees that pose a risk of falling into the line), even though it knew such trees were likely to exist after its contractors had performed their work.
 - **PG&E** failed to properly construct its power lines and thereafter failed to take reasonable steps to make sure the poles and lines were sufficiently strong to support lines and other equipment that were added by third parties.
 - PG&E chose to not ensure that its contractors were properly trained in tree inspections and removal.
 - PG&E chose to not ensure that its contractors hired people who met PG&E's minimum qualifications.
 - PG&E chose to not participate in the training of its contractors.
- 63. **PG&E** owes the public a non-delegable duty with regard to the operation of its power lines, which includes maintenance, inspection, repair, vegetation management, and/or all other obligations imposed by the Public Utilities Code and the CPUC, specifically including, but

⁸ http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/10/10/pge-power-lines-linked-to-wine-country-fires

not limited to, General Orders Numbers 95 and 165. Even when **PG&E** chooses to hire contractors, its obligations remain non-delegable. **PG&E's** acts and omissions, as described herein, were a cause of the North Bay Fires and/or aggravated the spread of the fires and destruction left in their path.

- 64. **PG&E** responded to the North Bay Fires by acknowledging that there were problems with its electrical equipment the night the North Bay Fires began. However, **PG&E** blamed its failing electrical equipment on winds combined with "millions of trees weakened by years of drought and recent renewed vegetation growth from winter storms." However, the fault lies with **PG&E**. Knowing the effects of the drought on vegetation near its power lines, **PG&E** had a duty to inspect and maintain that vegetation to minimize and avoid risk of fire, injury, death and harm to the public, but **PG&E** failed to do so.
- 65. At all times relevant to this action, **PG&E** had specific knowledge that the greatest risk to the public from its operations was wildfire. **PG&E** knew that wildfire could result in death and injury to members of the public and could result in the destruction of structures and property.
- 66. Despite such knowledge, **PG&E** chose to accept vegetation management that would result in 17 tree-related outages for each 1,000 miles of lines, despite knowing that such outages could result in wildfires that would cause injury, death, harm, and property destruction.
- 67. **PG&E** has acknowledged and at all times relevant to this action knew that it was not adequately directing resources to its vegetation management program to reduce the risk of wildfire. **PG&E** cited its limited resources as the reason it chose to put the public in danger, while at the same time it was receiving approximately \$1,400,000,000 in profits each year. **PG&E's** decision-making and practices resulted in numerous deaths, injuries, and damage to structures and property, just as **PG&E** knew it could when it implemented such choices and practices.

D. THE IMPACT OF THE NORTH BAY FIRES ON THE WINE INDUSTRY

68. Sonoma County has 17 unique regions, and more than 60 grape varieties thrive in the County. Each growing region and every vineyard is distinctive, with the climate, soils, and/or

⁹ http://www.pgecurrents.com/2017/10/11/pge-statement-on-north-bay-wildfires/ (last accessed February 12, 2018).

grape, with over 12,500 acres.

site creating unique characteristics. Forty percent of Sonoma County's vineyards are less than 40 acres, and 80 percent are less than 100 acres. More than 85 percent of Sonoma County's vineyards are family-owned and operated. One in four Sonoma County jobs are in the wine industry. Due to the geological activity in Sonoma County, the County has a greater diversity of soils than all of France. Chardonnay is the most abundant varietal in Sonoma County, with over 15,000 planted vineyard acres. Further, more Pinot Noir grapes are planted in Sonoma County than any other red

- 69. Further, Napa Valley is one of the most renowned winegrowing regions in the world. Napa Valley contains about 45,000 acres under cultivation. It also has one of the most diverse soils in the world, including half of the world's 12 recognized soil orders and 33 different soil series. Napa Valley also contains more than 34 different wine grapes. Twenty-three percent of its planted acreage is to white wine grapes and 77 percent is red wine grapes. The Napa Valley is best known for its Cabernet Sauvignon variety (47 percent or 20,342 acres) followed by Chardonnay (14 percent or 6,397), Merlot, Sauvignon Blanc, Pinot Noir, and then Zinfandel. There are 700 grape growers in Napa County, 475 physical wineries, and over 1,000 different wine brands. Ninety-five percent of the wineries in Napa Valley are family-owned. The local wine industry and related businesses provide an annual economic impact of over \$13 billion locally and over \$50 billion in the United States, which results in 46,000 jobs locally and 300,000 jobs nationally.
- 70. The North Bay Fires caused significant damage to the entire wine industry in Northern California, including physical damage to vineyards, tasting rooms, houses, machinery, and the surrounding land. The fire damage and destruction also reduced the value of affected property, and will reduce the resale value and development potential for such property.
- 71. In addition to damage and destruction of real and personal property, the North Bay Fires caused widespread economic losses to businesses throughout the region, and will continue to do so into the future. Businesses have incurred and will continue to incur economic losses due to inability to operate their businesses, loss of access to their business locations, and/or inability

-30088

of staff and employees to reach the business. In addition, wine supplies were adversely affected, including but not limited to the taste of wine, for many years to come.

- 72. Many businesses in Northern California derive significant business from tourists and other out-of-region customers. These businesses have suffered and will continue to suffer economic loss due to these tourists and out-of-region customers choosing not to visit Northern California in the aftermath of the North Bay Fires.
- 73. Individual employees of affected businesses also incurred and will continue to incur economic losses due to the inability of businesses to operate, be accessed, and/or attract or service customers due to the North Bay Fires. Businesses have incurred and will continue to incur economic losses due to the chemical retardant that was used to put out the North Bay Fires. Cal Fire dumped several million gallons to try to control the blazes. The chemical used kills the plants it comes into contact with and also harms the soil. Organic businesses incurred and will continue to incur economic losses due to the foreseeable use of chemical retardant because the product contains fertilizer-type materials that will ruin an organic accreditation. These conditions are ongoing and will continue for an unknown time into the future.
- 74. The wine industry is investigating to what extent the taste of grapes harvested during this past season was altered by the North Bay Fires. The grapes on the vines that survived the North Bay Fires may suffer from "smoke taint" and be unusable for winemaking or otherwise be of reduced value. Part of the investigation is whether smoke permeated into plants' leaves or the skin of the grapes, which will only be revealed during fermentation. If damage is present, this condition severely damages flavor and the "nose" of a wine. In bad cases, a wine can take on the taste of a "dirty ashtray" or smell like a "smoked fish." This would directly affect wines sold from the 2017 harvest season but may also affect the overall market reputation and value of wines coming from Napa and Sonoma Counties and/or the surrounding regions for years to come.
- 75. Wines made from grapes harvested before the North Bay Fires may also be damaged. Many wineries lost power during the fires. Without power, the fermentation process may accelerate too quickly, ruining the wines. Reserves of wines aging in barrels and bottles may also be lost to smoke and heat damage.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30088

77. There are more than 100,000 vine-growing acres in Napa County, Sonoma County, and the surrounding areas, but the full damage to the vines cannot be seen yet. It may take at least two years to fully understand if each vine is still viable or how its growth patterns were altered. The viability of the vines depends on where they were burned. The part of the vine which creates fruit is grafted onto different, hardier rootstock, so it has a better chance to grow and be resistant to disease. Thus, even if the roots were undamaged, the rootstock does not produce grapes which are desirable for winemaking. Whether the vine will remain fruitful is also dependent on the extent of the damage. For example, scorched vines will not produce as much fruit. The worst case scenario is when the trunk of the plant is damaged. If a substantial portion of the trunk is destroyed, there is no saving a vine. A vine does not actually have to catch fire to be harmed, even just exposure to heat from adjacent burning can cause damage. Slightly damaged vines are also vulnerable to damaging pathogens like fungi. Each of these lost vines represents many hours of human labor, skill, and artistry. They cannot be easily replaced. Each vine has been manipulated for decades to develop a particular taste or a quality, such as the thickness of the grapes' skin. Furthermore, it takes at least three years for a vine to produce usable fruit, and the higher quality grapes come from more mature vines. Many of the vines in the areas impacted by the North Bay

17

18

14

15

16

19 20

21 2.2.

23 24

25 26

28

Fires were thirty to forty years old. Certain vines were more than a century old and brought to the United States in the "baggage of a European immigrant."

- 78. The North Bay Fires also caused a huge risk of erosion. Businesses have and will incur damage to personal and real property, business losses, and/or other damages related to preparing for and/or preventing erosion, runoff, and/or debris flow for an unknown period of time.
- 79. Beyond the damage to their properties, vines, and/or inventories, the North Bay Fires also reduced tourism for wineries. Last year, California wineries drew more than 23 million visits and earned more than \$7.2 billion in tourist-related income, most of which was spent in Napa and Sonoma Counties. Northern California receives most of its tourists around the fall harvest season, and October is typically among the busiest months for hotels and other tourism-related industries in Northern California. Many hotels had to evacuate and close their properties because of the North Bay Fires. If they reopened, they housed emergency responders, evacuees, and/or insurance groups at lower rates. However, news of the North Bay Fires drove away visitors and/or lead them to choose other destinations. Many come to Northern California to appreciate its picturesque valleys and the natural beauty of the verdant landscape. Even when businesses are able to reopen, it is hard to say when the environment will be able to recover.

E. THE DEADLY AND DESTRUCTIVE NORTH BAY FIRES

1. The Atlas Fire

- 80. The devastating Atlas Fire that tore through Napa and Solano Counties was one of California's most destructive wildfires. The Atlas Fire killed six people, burned approximately 51,600 acres, and damaged or destroyed at least 571 homes, wineries, and other structures in Napa and Solano counties.
- 81. Thousands of residents were displaced and forced to flee in the dark hours before dawn when the fire grew and spread. Many left on only a moment's notice, fleeing from flames without their belongings, as their neighborhoods were consumed by smoke and fire.

27

	82.	Cal Fire re	eported that the origin of the Atlas Fire was at or near Atlas Peak Road,
south	of Lake	Berryessa.	Cal Fire also reported that the Atlas Fire started at or around 9:52 p.m.
on Sui	ndav. Od	tober 8, 20	17.10

- 83. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting **PG&E** power lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the time and place the Atlas Fire started. For example, in Napa County, a live oak tree and a live oak branch fell and struck two electricity distribution lines near the City of Napa.
- 84. As described in **PG&E** Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171020-8589, on October 19, 2017, **PG&E** identified a broken tree limb and broken field-phase primary insulator on the Pueblo 1104 **PG&E** facility at or near 4011 Atlas Peak Road, Napa, California. The incident report notes, "An approximately 25 foot tree limb fell from a White Oak that was rooted approximately 15 feet from the distribution conductors." This incident occurred the day the Atlas Fire began.¹¹
- 85. As described in **PG&E** Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171023-8596, on October 21, 2017, "**PG&E** identified a 19-inch diameter Oak tree, approximately 45 feet tall, that broke at the base and took down one phase of the Pueblo 1104 (12 kV) Circuit near 3683 Atlas Peak Road. The butt of the Oak tree was completely burned and located 10 to 15 feet from the distribution conductors."¹²
- 86. Shortly after the fire, Cal Fire investigators were observed along Atlas Peak Road looking closely at a line of oak trees whose branches extended through overhead utility lines on the west side of the road, less than a quarter mile south of a sprawling ranch on the plateau of a Napa peak. A twisted, fallen wire lay on the ground, surrounded by stake flags. A broken oak branch precariously dangled overhead among the wires and other branches.¹³

-30088

¹⁰ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1866 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

¹¹ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

¹² *Ibid*.

¹³ http://www.sfchronicle.com/news/article/where-the-blazes-began-12294729.php (last accessed February 12, 2018).

2. The Cascade/LaPorte Fires

87. The Cascade and LaPorte Fires forced scores of individuals to evacuate in the dark hours before dawn as the fires grew and spread. Many left on only a moment's notice, fleeing from flames without their belongings, as their neighborhoods were consumed by smoke and fire. Collectively, the Cascade and LaPorte Fires killed approximately four people and destroyed over 450 structures and homes.

88. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Cascade Fire was at or near the intersection of Cascade Way and Marysville Road, north of Collins Lake, California. The Cascade Fire started at or around 11:03 p.m. on Sunday, October 8, 2017, and burned approximately 9,989 acres in Yuba County.¹⁴

89. Witnesses saw and/or reported trees hitting **PG&E** electrical lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Cascade Fire started. For example, in the half hour before the fire began, firefighters responded to at least two trees falling into power lines and power lines falling across the road. When emergency responders headed to the Cascade Fire, they warned each other of downed power lines to ensure firefighter safety. ¹⁵

90. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the LaPorte Fire was at or near the intersection of LaPorte Road and Oro Bangor Highway, Bangor, California. The LaPorte Fire started at or around 12:57 a.m. on early Monday, October 9, 2017, and burned approximately 6,151 acres in Butte County. The Cascade and LaPorte Fires merged later that week.

91. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting **PG&E** electrical lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the LaPorte Fire started. **PG&E** Electrical Safety Incident Report No. 171013-8569 shows that at or around

MASTER COMPLAINT – INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

¹⁴ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1871 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

https://www.mercurynews.com/2017/10/17/yuba-countys-cascade-fire-bore-similar-hallmarks-to-wine-country-fires/ (last accessed February 12, 2018).

¹⁶ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1870 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

LAW OFFICES

McCarthy, LLP

11:20 p.m. on October 8, 2017, an oak tree limb broke and hit a nearby electrical wire at or near 167 Darby Road, Bangor, California. 17

3. **The Cherokee Fire**

- 92. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Cherokee Fire was at or near the intersection of Cherokee Road and Zonalea Lane in Oroville, California. Cal Fire also reported that the Cherokee Fire started on Sunday, October 8, 2017, at or around 9:45 p.m. The fire burned approximately 8,417 acres and destroyed 6 structures in Butte County. 18
- 93. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting PG&E electrical lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Cherokee Fire started. PG&E Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171010-8557 shows that at or around 9:45 p.m. on October 8, 2017, an incident caused a broken tree limb and wires to come down on the Clark Road 1102 PG&E facility at or near 3401 Cherokee Road, Oroville, California. The tree was rooted approximately 15 feet from PG&E distribution conductors at approximately the same location as the fire origin reported by Cal Fire. 19

4. The Honey Fire

- 94. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Honey Fire was at or near the intersection of Honey Run Road and Merlin Lane, southwest of Paradise, California. Cal Fire also reported that the Honey Fire started on Monday, October 9, 2017, at or around 3:05 p.m. The fire burned approximately 150 acres in Butte County.²⁰
- 95. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting PG&E electrical lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Honey Fire started. Witnesses observed downed power lines, exploding transformers, improper fuses, improper connections, improper clearances, aged and defective poles, unrepaired poles, problems

¹⁷ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

¹⁸ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents details_info?incident_id=1865 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

¹⁹ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

²⁰ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents details info?incident id=1880 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

with other electrical equipment, and/or down trees, tree limbs, and/or other vegetation in the area in and around the Honey Fire.

5. The Lobo Fire

- 96. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Lobo Fire was at or near Lone Lobo Trail near Rough and Ready, California. Cal Fire also reports that the Lobo Fire started on early Monday, October 9, 2017, at or around 12:01 a.m. The fire burned approximately 821 acres in Nevada County.²¹
- 97. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting **PG&E** electrical lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Lobo Fire started. **PG&E** Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171012-8565 shows that at or around 11:20 p.m. on October 8, 2917, a ponderosa pine tree fell on the Narrows 2102 **PG&E** Circuit at or near 11218 Lone Lobo Trail, Nevada City, California. The tree was rooted approximately 50 feet from **PG&E** distribution conductors at approximately the same location as the fire origin reported by Cal Fire.²²

6. The Maacama or No Name Fire

- 98. The "Maacama" or "No Name" Fire was first reported at approximately 10:01 p.m. on Sunday, October 8, 2017, and originated near Maacama Lane and Chalk Hill Road in Healdsburg just east of Maacama Creek.²³
- 99. The Maacama Fire forced two families to flee their homes shortly before they were destroyed by the fire, and burned approximately 50 acres, including sections of a vineyard.

7. The McCourtney Fire

100. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the McCourtney Fire was at or near the intersection of McCourtney Road and Highway 20 in Grass Valley, California. Cal Fire also

2526

27

28

-30088

23

24

MASTER COMPLAINT – INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

²¹ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1877 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

²³ Cal Fire did not give the "Maacama Fire" a name. It is also known to local residents as the "No Name Fire" due to its proximity to No Name Road.

8

15

1617

18 19

21

20

2223

24

2526

27

-30088

28
LAW OFFICES

reported that the McCourtney Fire started on early Monday, October 9, 2017, at or around 12:00 a.m. The fire burned approximately 76 acres in Nevada County and destroyed 13 structures.²⁴

and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the McCourtney Fire started. **PG&E** Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171011-8563 shows that at or around 11:00 p.m. on October 8, 2017, a broken ponderosa pine tree and wire were down on the Grass Valley 1103 **PG&E** Circuit near 11253 Orion Way, Grass Valley, California. The tree was rooted approximately 6 to 8 feet from **PG&E** distribution conductors and took down 3 primary conductors at approximately the same location as the fire origin reported by Cal Fire.²⁵

8. The Nuns Fire

102. The Nuns Fire forced scores of individuals to evacuate in the dark hours before dawn as the fire grew and spread. Many left on only a moment's notice, fleeing from flames without their belongings, as their neighborhoods were consumed by smoke and fire. The Nuns Fire merged with the Adobe, Norrbom, Oakmont, Partrick, and Pressley Fires (collectively, the "Nuns Fire"). These fires claimed two lives and destroyed approximately 1527 structures and homes.²⁶

103. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Nuns Fire was at or near Highway 12 north of Glen Ellen, California. Cal Fire also reported that the Nuns Fire started on Sunday, October 8, 2017, at or around 10:00 p.m. The fire burned approximately 56,556 acres in Napa and Sonoma Counties.²⁷

²⁴ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1872 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

²⁵ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

²⁶ http://www.latimes.com/projects/la-me-northern-california-fires-structures (last accessed February 12, 2018).

²⁷ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1868 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

105. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting **PG&E** electrical lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Nuns Fire started. At least ten of the calls reported electrical problems, transformer explosions, transformer fires, arcing transformers, down power lines, arcing power lines, and/or flames in trees. Further, several calls reported problems with electrical equipment in the vicinity of the Nuns Fire, including a call at approximately 9:43 p.m. reporting trees and wires down and a call at approximately 10:40 p.m. reporting a blown transformer.²⁹

106. **PG&E** Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171010-8558 shows that at or around 10:00 p.m. on October 8, 2017, a broken eucalyptus tree and wire was down on the Dunbar 1101 **PG&E** facility at or near 8555 Sonoma Highway near Kenwood, California. The tree was rooted approximately 50 feet from **PG&E** fallen lines, and took down 3 primary conductors. Further, **PG&E** Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171016-8576 shows that at or around 1:00 a.m. on October 9, 2017, an alder tree broke at the top and fell on an open wire at or near 1210 Nuns Canyon Road near Glen Ellen, California. The tree was rooted approximately 30 feet from **PG&E** overhead secondary distribution conductors. The sites of these **PG&E** incidents are near or the same location as the two origin locations of Nuns Fire origin reported by Cal Fire.

107. At or around the start time of the Nuns Fire, **PG&E's** website for electrical outages reported two outages at or very near the origin of the Nuns Fire. The first outage was reported at 10:31 p.m. on October 8, 2017, stating "found a broken power pole in the area." The second

24

22

23

25

26

27

28

²⁸ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1869 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

²⁹ http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/10/10/pge-power-lines-linked-to-wine-country-fires (last accessed February 12, 2018).

³⁰ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

³¹ Ibid

PG&E outage at or near the origin of the Nuns Fire was reported at 11:50 p.m. on October 8, 2017, stating "found a broken power pole in the area."³²

For the Partrick Fire, PG&E Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171020-8586 108. shows that on or around October 8, 2017, an oak tree fell and took down one phase of the Pueblo 2103 PG&E Circuit at or near 1721 Partrick Road near Napa, California. The tree was rooted approximately 44 feet from PG&E distribution conductors at or near the same location as the origin of the Partrick Fire reported by Cal Fire.³³ After the fire was extinguished, witnesses observed Cal Fire investigators looking at downed power lines near the suspected origin point of the Partrick Fire.³⁴

109. Further, at or near the start time of the Partrick Fire, **PG&E's** website reported four separate outages at or very near the origin of the Partrick Fire. All four outages reflected the same outage cause: "found a broken power pole in the area." The date and time stamps were the same as well: 1:47 a.m. on October 9, 2017.35

9. **The Pocket Fire**

110. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Pocket Fire was at or near the intersection of Pocket Ranch Road and Ridge Ranch Road in Geyserville, California. Cal Fire also reported that the Pocket Fire started on early Monday, October 9, 2017, at or around 3:30 a.m. The fire burned approximately 17,357 acres in Sonoma County.³⁶

Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting PG&E electrical lines 111. and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Pocket Fire started. PG&E Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171021-8592 shows that at or around 3:30 a.m. on October 9, 2017, there was a broken oak tree limb and wire down on the Cloverdale

25

26

27

28

LAW OFFICES

McCarthy, LLP

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MASTER COMPLAINT - INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

²³ 24

³² These quotes appeared on https://m.pge.com/?WT.pgeac=Home_Outages#outages but are no longer available on that site.

³³ Ibid.

³⁴ http://www.sfchronicle.com/news/article/where-the-blazes-began-12294729.php (last accessed February 12, 2018).

³⁵ These quotes appeared on https://m.pge.com/?WT.pgeac=Home Outages#outages but are no longer available on that site.

³⁶ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents details info?incident_id=1883 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COTCHETT, Prayer. McCarthy, LLP

1102 PG&E facility near the intersection of Ridge Ranch Road and Ridge Oaks Road near Geyserville, California. The tree was rooted approximately 15 feet from PG&E's lines at approximately the same location as the fire origin reported by Cal Fire.³⁷

10. **The Point Fire**

- Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Point Fire was at or near the intersection of 112. Highway 26 and Higdon Road in West Point, California. Cal Fire also reported that the Point Fire started on early Monday, October 9, 2017, at or around 1:10 a.m. The fire burned approximately 130 acres in Calaveras County.³⁸
- 113. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting PG&E electrical lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Point Fire started. PG&E Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171009-8554 shows that at or around 10:00 a.m. on October 9, 2017, there was a broken tree limb and wire down on the West Point 1102 PG&E facility at or near 22894 Highway 26, West Point, California. The tree was rooted approximately 50 feet from PG&E's distribution conductors at approximately the same location as the fire origin reported by Cal Fire.³⁹

11. The Redwood Valley/Potter Fires

Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Redwood Valley Fire was north of Highway 20, west of Mendocino National Forest, and south of Black Bart, California, and that it started on October 8, 2017, at or around 11:36 p.m. Cal Fire also reported that the origin of the Potter Fire was near Busch Lane in Potter Valley, California. The fires merged into each other and became commonly referred to as the Redwood Valley Fire. Collectively, the fires burned approximately

³⁷ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

³⁸ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1875 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

³⁹ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

12

10

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 LAW OFFICES

36,526 acres in Mendocino County, and destroyed or damaged around 588 homes and structures. 40 The fires claimed the lives of 8 individuals, including a 14-year old boy. 41

- Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting PG&E electrical lines 115. and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Redwood Valley and Potter Fires started. **PG&E** Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171009-8553 shows that at or around 11:35 p.m. on October 8, 2017, there was a wire down and broken tree near structure 0/8 of the **PG&E** Potter Valley-Mendocino transmission line in Potter Valley, California. **PG&E** found a broken tree top near the downed conductor. The tree was rooted approximately 60 feet from PG&E's transmission line at approximately the same location as the fire origin reported by Cal Fire.⁴²
- 116. It was difficult for firefighters to access the Redwood Valley and Potter Fires because of downed power lines and trees. Local county officials reported that within 30 minutes of the fire dispatch coming in, Cal Fire dispatched every available Cal Fire unit except one, and local dispatchers fielded hundreds of calls reporting power outages and fires. 43

12. The Sullivan Fire

- 117. The Sullivan Fire was first reported at approximately 12:17 a.m. on Monday, October 9, 2017, and originated near 4822 Sullivan Way in Santa Rosa, California.
- 118. The Sullivan Fire forced families to flee the area in the middle of the night before it destroyed several homes located on Sullivan Way.
- 119. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated arcing activity or problems with PG&E electrical equipment at the same time and place the Sullivan Fire started. PG&E Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171015-8573 shows that fire damaged two structures "at or near 4818 Sullivan Way" and upon arrival at the scene, **PG&E** "noticed a possible issue with the secondary

⁴⁰ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents details info?incident id=1874 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁴¹ http://krcrtv.com/archive/remembering-the-victims-8-dead-from-redwood-valley-fire (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁴² http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁴³ http://www.ukiahdailyjournal.com/article/NP/20171109/NEWS/171109874 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

conductor."44

2

3 4

1

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-30088

13. The Sulphur Fire

120. Hundreds of residents were displaced and forced to evacuate in the dark hours before dawn as the Sulphur Fire grew and spread. In Clearlake Park, residents had to be picked up off their docks by boat patrols to escape the raging flames.⁴⁵ Other residents with homes on Gooseneck Point were trapped by the fire and had to flee by rowboat. Many other residents left on only a moment's notice, fleeing from flames without their belongings, as their entire neighborhoods were consumed by smoke and fire. 46

121. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Sulphur Fire was off of Highway 20 at Sulphur Bank Road, Clearlake Oaks, California. Cal Fire also reported that the Sulphur Fire started on Sunday, October 8, 2017, at or around 11:59 p.m. The fire burned approximately 2,207 acres in Lake County⁴⁷ and destroyed approximately 162 homes, businesses, and outbuildings.⁴⁸

122. Contemporaneous calls and reports indicated trees hitting PG&E electrical lines and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Redwood Valley Fire started. PG&E Electric Safety Incident Report No. 171011-8562 shows that at or around 11:55 p.m. on October 8, 2917, there were two broken poles on the Redbud 1102 PG&E Circuit near the intersection of Pomo Road and Sulphur Bank Road near Clearlake, California. The top section of Fuse Cutout Pole 1447 had broken and fallen to the ground. In addition, a pole one span to the west was burned and fell to the ground.⁴⁹ The site of this PG&E incident is approximately the same location as the fire origin reported by Cal Fire, and that at least one of these poles was rotten and riddled with woodpecker holes.

⁴⁴ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed March 9, 2018).

⁴⁵ http://www.latimes.com/local/california/la-northern-california-fires-live-clearlake-parkneighborhood-hit-hard-by-1508100783-htmlstory.html (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁴⁶ http://abc7news.com/exclusive-sulphur-fire-victims-tell-harrowing-tale-of-driving-throughflames/2553638 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁴⁷ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1876 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁴⁸ https://yubanet.com/Fires/sulphur (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁴⁹ http://cpuc.ca.gov/pgefireincidentreports (last accessed February 12, 2018).

2

45

6 7

9 10

8

1112

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

-30088

14. The Tubbs Fire

- 123. The Tubbs Fire was the most destructive of the North Bay Fires. The fire destroyed approximately five percent of Santa Rosa's housing stock, burned over 36,807 acres across Sonoma and Napa Counties, and killed at least 22 individuals.
- 124. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Tubbs Fire was at or near the intersection of Highway 128 and Bennett Lane, Calistoga, California. Cal Fire also reported that the Tubbs Fire started on Sunday, October 8, 2017, at or around 9:45 p.m.⁵⁰
- and/or problems with other electrical equipment at or around the same time and place the Tubbs Fire started. At least ten of the calls reported electrical problems, transformer explosions, transformer fires, arcing transformers, down power lines, arcing power lines, and/or flames in trees. Further, several calls reported problems with electrical equipment in the vicinity of the Tubbs Fire, including a call at approximately 9:24 p.m. reporting a **PG&E** transformer explosion, a call at approximately 9:58 p.m. reporting down power lines, a call at approximately 10:14 p.m. reporting flames in trees, and a call at approximately 10:34 p.m. reporting falling power line wires.⁵¹
- 126. At or around the start time of the Tubbs Fire, **PG&E's** website for electrical outages reported two outages right next to each other at or very near the origin of the Tubbs Fire. The causes of the **PG&E** outages read: "found damaged equipment on a power pole," and "fire in the area." The start time of both outages was exactly 8:51 p.m. on October 8, 2017 near the reported start time of the Tubbs Fire.⁵²
- 127. There were multiple power lines, power poles, and/or associated equipment in and around the reported origin of the Tubbs Fire. After containment of the Tubbs Fire, there was

⁵⁰ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1867 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁵¹ http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/10/10/pge-power-lines-linked-to-wine-country-fires (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁵² This quote appeared on https://m.pge.com/?WT.pgeac=Home_Outages#outages but is no longer available on that site.

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

2425

26

27

28

-30088

caution tape around the **PG&E** power poles located at or near Highway 128 and Bennett Lane, where the outage reports originated. Several trees were dangerously close to power poles and electrical wires coming off the poles in the area of the Tubbs Fire origin. Further, electric equipment that appeared to have come off the poles in the area of the Tubbs Fire origin was on the ground.

15. The Highway 37 Fire

128. Cal Fire reported that the origin of the Highway 37 Fire was at or near the intersection of Highway 37 and Lakeville Highway near Sonoma, California. Cal Fire also reported that the Highway 37 Fire started on October 9, 2017, at or around 2:00 p.m., and burned approximately 1,660 acres in Sonoma County.⁵³

129. **PLAINTIFFS** are informed that witnesses observed downed power lines, exploding transformers, improper fuses, improper connections, improper clearances, aged and defective poles, unrepaired poles, problems with other electrical equipment, and/or down trees, tree limbs, and/or other vegetation in the area in and around the Highway 37 Fire.

F. PG&E'S ACTS AND OMISSIONS CAUSED AND CONTRIBUTED TO CAUSING THE NORTH BAY FIRES

1. The 2013 Liberty Report Found that PG&E's Distribution System Presented "Significant Safety Issues"

130. On May 6, 2013, a report was sent to the Safety and Enforcement Division of the CPUC from the Liberty Consulting Group who had been retained to conduct an independent review of capital and operations and maintenance expenditures proposed by **PG&E** (hereinafter the "2013 Liberty Report"). The 2013 Liberty Report concluded that: "several aspects of the **PG&E** distribution system present significant safety issues." It also found: (a) "addressing risks associated with electrical distribution components has been overshadowed by electric transmission and gas facilities;" (b) "addressing aging infrastructure and adding SCADA to the system comprise

⁵³ http://cdfdata.fire.ca.gov/incidents/incidents_details_info?incident_id=1882 (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁵⁴ http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/publisheddocs/efile/g000/m065/k394/65394210.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

the major focuses of safety initiatives for the distribution system;" and (c) "current employee/contractor serious injury and fatality levels require significantly greater mitigation."

2. The 2013 Liberty Report Found that PG&E's Wires Were Highly Susceptible to Failure Due to Age

- 131. One of the first key findings of the 2013 Liberty Report was that **PG&E** had a "large amount of small size obsolete conductor remaining on **PG&E's** system." **PG&E** has 113,000 miles of conductors, and according to the report, over 60 percent of those conductors are highly susceptible to failure. The conductors are very small, and generally more susceptible to breaking than standard size conductors. As a conductor ages, it becomes even more susceptible to breaking. Weather conditions, such as winds and lightning strikes, will also wear a small conductor more than larger ones. For these reasons, "[t]his conductor was once popular, but is now recognized as obsolete, due to its small size."
- 132. **PG&E's** failure to replace these undersized and obsolete conductors was a proximate cause of the North Bay Fires and Plaintiffs' harm and damages arising therefrom.

3. PG&E Failed to Inspect, Maintain, Repair, and/or Replace Its Equipment

- 133. **PG&E** failed to perform the necessary inspections, maintenance, repair, and/or replacement of its electrical equipment.
- 134. A 2015 audit of **PG&E's** Sonoma Division revealed that there were over 3,500 unfilled **PG&E** repair and maintenance requests in the area of the Tubbs Fire.⁵⁵ The volume of unfilled repair and maintenance requests reflects **PG&E's** reckless and conscious disregard for public safety in the North Bay Fire zones.
- 135. In a December 31, 2015, letter to **PG&E** regarding the audit, Fayi Daye, a supervising electric safety regulator with the CPUC, outlined the violations found in the review of records between 2010 and 2015 and a spot check of **PG&E** electrical distribution equipment. She stated the following:

25

26

27

⁵⁵ http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/Content/Safety/ Electric_Safety_and_Reliability/Reports_and_Audits/Electric_Facilities/EA2015-018.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

3

4

5

6

8

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28
LAW OFFICES

Сотснетт, Стате 19

McCarthy, LLP

PG&E's records indicated that from August 2010 to September 21, 2015, a total of 3,527 work orders were completed past their scheduled date of corrective action per **PG&E's** Electric Notification Prioritization Standards. Late work orders included overhead and underground facilities. ⁵⁶

- 136. The letter concluded that these delays violated CPUC General Order No. 128, Rule 17.1, which sets forth the CPUC's design, construction, and maintenance rules for electrical systems.
- 137. The audit also reviewed **PG&E's** maps for its electrical distribution lines and found that over 50 pieces of overhead equipment including pole mounted transformers and power lines has not been inspected every year as required by law. This was a violation of CPUC General Order No. 165, § 111-B, which sets forth standards for inspections.⁵⁷
- 138. According to State Senator Jerry Hill, these findings are especially troubling because "they are getting the money for these, they are getting the funds to do the work in a timely manner." 58 Yet, **PG&E** takes the money but fails to correct the problems.
- 139. Further, according to records maintained by Cal Fire, approximately 135 fires in Sonoma and Napa Counties were caused by electrical equipment from 2011 through 2015.⁵⁹ In 2015, the last year of reported data, electrical power problems sparked the burning of 149,241 acres across California more than twice the amount from any other cause.⁶⁰
- 140. Since prior to 1996, **PG&E** has known or should have known that its choice of chemical treatments for its poles can also make its equipment unsafe. For example, **PG&E** uses and has used poles treated with pentachlorophenol in liquefied petroleum gas by the Cellon® process. Those poles tend to experience surface decay below ground regardless of the type of

⁵⁶ *Ibid*.

⁵⁷ *Ibid*.

⁵⁸ https://www.nbcbayarea.com/news/local/State-Audit-Shows-PGE-Had-Repair-Job-Backlog-in-Sonoma-Santa-Rosa-451996923.html (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁵⁹ http://www.fire.ca.gov/fire_protection/fire_protection_fire_info_redbooks (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁶⁰ http://www.latimes.com/business/la-fi-utility-wildfires-20171017-story.html (last accessed February 12, 2018).

11

12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25 26

27

28

LAW OFFICES

McCarthy, LLP

wood used for the poles. As a result, digging inspections are required for poles treated by these processes for all wood types. However, PG&E has failed to conduct the proper inspections. Further, when PG&E has been advised of necessary repairs to such poles, it has failed to repair the poles in a timely manner.

According to the 2017 CPUC Order Instituting Investigation into the Creation of a 141. Shared Database or Statewide Census of Utility Poles and Conduit:

> Poorly maintained poles and attachments have caused substantial property damage and repeated loss of life in this State. For example, inadequate clearance between communication and power lines, perhaps in conjunction with a broken cable lashing wire, caused the Southern California Guejito Fire of 2007 which (together with the Witch Fire) burned 197,990 acres and caused two deaths. Three more deaths occurred in 2011 when an electrical conductor separated from a pole in high winds, causing a live wire to fall to the ground. At least five more people lost their lives in pole-related failures in 2012 and 2015.

> Unauthorized pole attachments are particularly problematic. overloaded with unauthorized equipment collapsed during windy conditions and started the Malibu Canyon Fire of 2007, destroying and damaging luxury homes and burning over 4500 acres. Windstorms in 2011 knocked down a large number of poles in Southern California, many of which were later found to be weakened by termites, dry rot, and fungal decay.

> Communication and other wires are not infrequently found hanging onto roads or yards. Poles with excessive and/or unauthorized attachments can put utility workers at risk. Facilities deployed in the field may differ from what appears on paper or in a utility's database. 61

142. In the June 29, 2017 CPUC press release for the investigation, CPUC President Michael Picker stated, "Plain old wooden poles, along with their cousins, the underground conduits, are work horses, carrying most of our power and telecommunications. They sometimes get crowded and fail, causing outages and fires because of all the equipment crammed onto them." Further, "Not knowing where all the poles are and who owns them, how loaded they are, how safe they are, and whether they can handle any additional infrastructure, is problematic to both the

Page 46

⁶¹ http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M190/K872/190872933.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

utilities and to the CPUC. Creating a database of utility poles could help owners track attachments on their poles and manage necessary maintenance and rearrangements, and can help the CPUC in our oversight role."62

143. PG&E's failure to conduct proper and regular inspections of its wood utility poles and failure to replace them or make necessary repairs contributed to causing the North Bay Fires.

4. PG&E Failed to Ensure Its Infrastructure Could Withstand Foreseeable Weather Conditions as Required by Law

144. Despite PG&E's public protestations to the contrary, Northern California did not experience uncommon weather patterns the night the North Bay Fires began. Readings at weather stations in the areas impacted by the North Bay Fires show that winds were at foreseeable levels when PG&E's electrical equipment began to fail. For example, on October 8, 2017, a weather station in Santa Rosa in the vicinity of the Tubbs Fire recorded wind gusts of about 30 miles per hour at or around 9:29 p.m. About an hour later, the same station recorded wind gusts of 41 miles per hour. These wind speeds were surpassed in other recent storms in the area on a number of occasions.

145. According to PG&E's 2014 Annual Electric Distribution Reliability Report, sent to the CPUC on February 27, 2015, weather conditions have accounted for many of the top ten PG&E electrical outages each year since at least 2004, putting the utility on notice that these weather conditions occur and that they can cause electrical problems. For example, four of the "ten largest 2004 outage events" for PG&E occurred in the Santa Rosa and Sonoma areas where winds were documented in the 35 to 65 mph range, much higher levels than those of October 8, 2017.63

PG&E's largest outage in 2009 was caused by a strong early season storm that 146. "affected the entire service area with many stations reporting wind gusts over 50 mph. National Weather Service records indicate this storm was the strongest October rain and wind event since

28

22

23

24

25

26

27

MASTER COMPLAINT - INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

41

LAW OFFICES COTCHETT, Clare 19
McCarthy, LLP Doc# 2842-2 Filed: 07/02/19 30088 Entered: 07/02/19 18:04:42 of 58

⁶² http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M191/K560/191560905.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

⁶³ https://www.pge.com/includes/docs/pdfs/myhome/outages/outage/reliability/ AnnualElectricDistributionReliabilityReport.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

1962. Therefore, **PG&E** had notice of the type of winds that occurred on October 8, 2017, the night the North Bay Fires began.

- 147. **PG&E's** wood utility poles in the areas where the North Bay Fires began did not meet the wind load and safety factors required by General Order 95, Rule 48, under which wood utility poles must be replaced if they are not strong enough to withstand wind speeds of 92 mph. No weather station in the areas affected by the North Bay Fires recorded wind speeds at or above 92 mph on the night of October 8, 2017.
- 148. **PG&E's** failure to replace old and deteriorated wood utility poles that did not meet the strength and safety requirements of General Order 95, Rule 48, and that could not withstand wind speeds of less than 92 mph contributed to the cause of the North Bay Fires.

5. PG&E's Unsafe Use of Reclosers

- 149. Another key finding of the 2013 Liberty Report was that on a daily basis and in 36 percent of cases, **PG&E** cannot remotely de-energize a downed line and must send someone onsite to manually turn off the feed. An energized downed line is a hazard, and, according to the 2013 Liberty Report, this hazard has "contributed to a number of fatalities and injuries."
- 150. **PG&E** has a long-standing practice of using reclosers throughout its system to automatically restart power after interruptions, even though it knows these devices may cause wildfires. Reclosers are circuit breakers equipped with a mechanism that can automatically "reclose" the breaker and reenergize a power line after it has been "opened" due to a fault. Many of **PG&E's** reclosers are set to reenergize the line up to three times after a fault.
- 151. Reclosers are key tools to prevent power blackouts, but if a fault occurs from contact between a line and a tree or vegetation, reenergizing the line can ignite fires. This danger is so significant that the other two major utilities in California, San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Edison, have reprogramed their electrical systems during fire seasons to ensure that reclosers do not automatically restart electrical currents after a service interruption.
- 152. **PG&E** knew that its reclosers posed a great risk of wildfire but has only taken slow and incomplete steps to eliminate that risk. At a Congressional hearing in 2015, **PG&E's** Senior Vice President of Electrical Operations, Patrick Hogan, stated that **PG&E** had the ability to

MASTER COMPLAINT - INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

COTCHETT, Prays & McCarthy, LLP

10

13 14

15 16

17 18

1920

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

LAW OFFICES Cotchett, Prese

McCarthy, LLP

reprogram its reclosers during fire season to not restart power. Patrick Hogan claimed that shutting down power means "you take the reliability hit, but you gain the wildfire benefit." 64

- 153. In contrast to San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Edison having disabled all of their reclosers from reenergizing lines during fire season, and despite its own knowledge of the dangers posed by reclosers, **PG&E** began an experimental pilot program in 2017 to reprogram its reclosers that only affected a limited area of California.
- 154. Even before the Butte Fire in 2015, **PG&E** began a process of replacing all reclosers that can only be programmed or controlled on-site with reclosers that can be remotely programmed and controlled. However, that process has been so slow and deliberate many of its reclosers must still be programmed or controlled only at the site where they are installed.
- 155. On its own initiative, **PG&E** did not turn off a number of reclosers on transmission and distribution systems in the area of the North Bay Fires. Instead, **PG&E** left those reclosers active and did not turn them off until directed to do so by Cal Fire between October 12 and 15, 2017.
- 156. **PG&E's** failure to turn off its reclosers during fire season and its failure to ensure all of its reclosers could be programmed and controlled remotely proximately caused the North Bay Fires and the injuries, deaths, harm and property destruction arising therefrom.

6. PG&E Knew That Its Down-Guy Design Was Flawed and Could Cause Ground Currents That Create Arcing and Spark Vegetation

157. Electrical arcing is a process by which guy wires or "down-guys," when designed improperly and/or installed according to improper design, conduct ground current at ground level during high winds, igniting fires to nearby vegetation. Guy wires are the metal support cables that are used to tie electrical poles to the ground. **PG&E** utilizes an inverted "V" shape design without any separation or in-line insulators as an attempt to help its poles withstand high wind. However, in **PG&E's** sub-transmission design, **PG&E** does not separate the connection at the pole by 12 inches, utilize any in-line insulator to prevent ground current from flowing, or utilize a shunt so

MASTER COMPLAINT – INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

⁶⁴ http://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/Power-line-restart-device-implicated-in-past-12324764.php (last accessed February 12, 2018).

when ground current exists it does not cause an electrical arc. In addition, if not properly maintained, the down-guys become loose. In high wind conditions, when the poles sway and ground currents exist, arcing occurs. With the combination of high winds, swaying poles, loose connections, two down-guys attached by a common bolt, and ground current, electrical arcing occurs, igniting local vegetation.

- 158. It is believed that arcing from San Diego Gas & Electric wires was the cause of the 2007 San Diego "Witch Creek" Fires, in addition to the 2003 Cedar and Paradise Fires.
- Number 95. Industry experts have demonstrated to the CPUC and California utilities how the dangerous design causes arcing and fires for over a decade. They believe this design is unreasonably dangerous and that the fix is cheap and easy. CPUC General Order Number 95 sets forth two possible solutions: either have a 12-inch separation on a pole; or add an in-line insulator. An additional solution is adding a shunt from the down-guy anchor to the down-guy itself. All three inexpensive solutions prevent electrical arcs at ground levels that ignite fires.

7. PG&E's Reckless Adoption of the VMII Program Where It Paid Its Contractors to Cut Fewer Trees

- 160. **PG&E's** Vegetation Management Program performs two types of tree work: annual routine compliance tree work and reliability tree work.
- 161. Annual routine compliance work focuses on maintaining regulatory distances between energized conductors and vegetation. Reliability tree work focuses on locations where there has been a history of vegetation-related outage problems based on three historical indexes: System Average Interruption Frequency Index ("SAIFI"), Customer Experiencing Multiple Interruption ("CEMI"), and System Average Interruption Duration Index ("SAIDI").
- 162. In 2006, **PG&E's** Vegetation Management Program adopted the "Vegetation Management Incentive Initiative" ("VMII"). The ostensible purpose of VMII was to reduce the annual routine compliance tree work and share the resulting cost savings with the contractors whose compensation would be reduced by the loss of actual work. The actual purpose of VMII was to shift costs from annual routine compliance work to fund additional reliability work.

- 163. For example, in 2011, **PG&E** set a goal to reduce routine "units" worked from 1.18 million trees in 2011 to 1 million in 2012 in order to increase the amount of money available for reliability work by \$20 million. In 2012, **PG&E** set a goal to goal to reduce routine "units" worked by 25 percent in 2013 in order to increase the amount of money available for reliability work by \$35 million. In 2013, **PG&E** only performed routine patrol inspections on 75 percent of its distribution circuits, using the cost savings to increase its reliability patrols. In 2014, **PG&E** set a goal to reduce routine units worked by 7.5 percent annually through 2016.
- 164. Between 2006 and 2013, **PG&E** actually reduced the number of routine trees worked from 1.7 million to 1.25 million in 2013, paid contractors \$85 million, and increased reliability spending by \$134 million. During that time, customer satisfaction as measured by SAIFI increased by 40 percent.
- California, while most of **PG&E's** "reliability" work is performed in the more densely populated urban or semi-urban areas where outages will generate more complaints per square mile than in the rural counties served by **PG&E**. Although the actual vegetation management work performed in the annual routine compliance patrols and the reliability patrols is virtually the same, **PG&E's** only comprehensible rationale for differentiating the "two types of work" is that the "reliability" work is directed at reducing statistical measurements of customer dissatisfaction over outages and that goal can be better accomplished by concentrating on work in urban or semi-urban areas at the expense of work needed in rural areas.
- 166. Under **PG&E's** bonus incentive program, reducing the number of customer complaints over outages leads to an increased likelihood of increases in executive and management bonuses.
- 167. **PG&E's** reckless implementation and continued application of VMII proximately caused the North Bay Fires and the injuries, deaths, harm and property destruction arising therefrom.

///

///

8. PG&E Failed to Fully Employ LiDAR to Identify Hazard Trees

- 168. LiDAR (an acronym for "Light Detection and Ranging") is a surveying method that measures distances to a target by illuminating that target with a pulsed laser light and measures the reflected pulses with a sensor. These light pulses, when combined with other data recorded by the system, orthoimagery, and hyperspectral data, can generate precise three-dimensional images and information about the shape of the Earth and objects such as buildings or trees.
- 169. When used in a vegetation management program for electric utilities, LiDAR scans and analyses can be used to identify trees that have the potential for contacting conductors, whether because of proximity to the conductors or because they are dead, diseased, or dying. Annual LiDAR scans and analyzes the change in the dead or diseased vegetation by comparing one year's data to the prior year's inventory of dead or diseased trees. When the analysis is conducted over a subset dataset, it can provide a statistical understanding in the percent change in vegetation identified as dead or diseased.
- 170. **PG&E's** use of LiDAR is funded by its "Catastrophic Event Memorandum Account" ("CEMA"). If a catastrophic event is declared a state of emergency by the state or federal government, then utilities like **PG&E** can record costs caused by the event in this memorandum account. By recording these costs, the utilities can later ask for recovery of these costs from the CPUC.
- 171. In 2014, **PG&E** began to use LiDAR to scan and analyze small sections of its electric transmission and distribution system. In 2015, **PG&E** employed a contractor who created spatially accurate alignment information for approximately 10 percent of **PG&E** distribution lines using LiDAR and imagery. The contractor identified 2.2 million "Hazard Trees" in the LiDAR data having the potential to fail-in or encroach on distribution lines, performed "dead and diseased analysis" on 1.6 million trees, and identified 23,000 trees as potentially dead or diseased.
- 172. In 2015, for some unfortunate reason **PG&E** scheduled the LiDAR contractor's deliverables for October 2015 at the very tail end of California's fire season. The contractor's final product identified the 44 foot-tall gray pine that started the Butte Fire as a "Hazard Tree" that

had the potential to fall into one of **PG&E**'s distribution lines, but unfortunately **PG&E** received the information over a month after the Butte Fire started.

- 173. In 2016 and 2017, **PG&E** again employed LiDAR technology to scan and analyze its electric transmission and distribution system, but only employed the technology in limited sections of that system, and again scheduled the deliverables at the tail end of the California wildfire season.
- 174. **PG&E's** failure to fully employ LiDAR technology in the area of the North Bay Fires and its failure to timely schedule deliverables of LiDAR analyses proximately caused the North Bay Fires and the injuries, deaths, harm and property destruction arising therefrom.

9. PG&E Failed to Treat the Conditions of Its Aging Electrical Assets as an Enterprise-Level Risk

- 175. Another recommendation of the 2013 Liberty Report was "the establishment of a formal asset management program in Electric Operations." According to the report, "aging infrastructure is best addressed by having a strategic asset management program in place. These types of programs, such as the PAS 55 program, force a detailed and thorough condition assessment survey of the major assets. These types of formal programs also take failure modes into consideration. Long-term sustainable plans can then be prepared to address the asset conditions. A sustainable asset management will mitigate system safety risks from aging infrastructure, which constituted a major portion of the safety items in this GRC."
- 176. The 2013 Liberty Report specifically recommended that "PG&E treat aging infrastructure as an enterprise-level risk."
- 177. **PG&E's** failure to treat its aging infrastructure as an enterprise-level risk proximately caused the North Bay Fires and the injuries, deaths, harm and property destruction arising therefrom.

10. PG&E's "Run to Failure" Approach to Maintenance

178. **PG&E's**: failure to address the "significant safety hazards" identified by the 2013 Liberty Report; failure to replace obsolete and undersized conductors; failure to halt its unsafe use of reclosers; adoption of the VMII program; failure to fully employ LiDAR to identify hazard

trees; failure to treat the conditions of its aging infrastructure as an enterprise-level risk; failure to inspect, maintain, repair, and/or replace its aging equipment; failure to conduct an inventory of its electrical assets; and failure to ensure its infrastructure could withstand foreseeable weather conditions as required by law are all indicative of what has been called **PG&E's** "run to failure" approach to its infrastructure.

179. **PG&E** has a well-documented history of implementing this "run to failure" approach with its aging infrastructure, ignoring necessary maintenance and creating hazards to the public. According to a filing by Office of Ratepayer Advocates with the CPUC in May 2013:

However, as we saw in Section V.F.3 above, the Overland Audit explains how **PG&E** systematically underfunded GT&S integrity management and maintenance operations for the years 2008 through 2010. **PG&E** engaged in a 'run to failure' strategy whereby it deferred needed maintenance projects and changed the assessment method for several pipelines from ILI to the less informative ECDA approach – all to increase its profits even further beyond its already generous authorized rate of return, which averaged 11.2% between 1996 and 2010.

Given **PG&E's** excessive profits over the period of the Overland Audit, there is no reason to believe that Overland's example regarding GT&S operations between 2008 and 2010 was unique. The IRP Report supplements the Overland Audit findings with additional examples of **PG&E** management's commitment to profits over safety. Thus, it is evident that while the example of GT&S underfunding between 2008 and 2010 might be extreme, it was not an isolated incident; rather, it represents the culmination of **PG&E** management's long-standing policy to squeeze every nickel it could from **PG&E** gas operations and maintenance, regardless of the long term 'run to failure' impacts. And **PG&E** has offered no evidence to the contrary. ⁶⁵

180. **PG&E's** "run to failure" approach to maintenance proximately caused the North Bay Fires and the injuries, deaths, harm and property destruction arising therefrom.

11. PG&E's Purchase of Insurance Coverage for Punitive Damages

181. Insurance Code § 533 provides in pertinent part: "An insurer is not liable for a loss caused by the willful act of the insured."

⁶⁵ ftp://ftp2.cpuc.ca.gov/PG&E20150130ResponseToA1312012Ruling/2013/03/SB GT&S 0039691.pdf (last accessed February 12, 2018).

182. Civil Code § 1668 provides: "All contracts which have for their object, directly or indirectly, to exempt anyone from responsibility for his own fraud, or willful injury to the person or property of another, or violation of law, whether willful or negligent, are against the policy of the law."

- 183. Despite the statutory exoneration given to insurance companies for liability for losses caused by willful acts of an insured, and despite the fact that the public policy of the State of California invalidates any insurance contract that purports to provide coverage for punitive damages, **PG&E** has purchased policies of insurance from offshore companies in Bermuda, London, and elsewhere that expressly provide coverage for punitive damages in amounts that exceed hundreds of millions of dollars.
- 184. **PG&E** purchased insurance policies that cover punitive damages for the purpose of providing corporate security at the cost of public safety. This contributed to a culture of reckless disregard for the safety of the residents of Northern and Central California and contributed to causing the North Bay Fires.

G. PG&E'S CORPORATE CULTURE IS THE ROOT CAUSE OF THE NORTH BAY FIRES

- 185. **PG&E** has a virtual monopoly in the provision of gas and electric services to the general public in almost all counties and cities across Northern and Central California.⁶⁶
- 186. Over the past thirty-plus years, **PG&E** has been subject to numerous fines, penalties, and/or convictions as a result of its failure to abide by safety rules and regulations, including the fines, penalties, settlements, and convictions detailed above. Despite these recurring punishments, **PG&E** continues to display a shocking degree of arrogant complacency, refuses to modify its behavior, and continues to conduct its business with a conscious disregard for the safety of the public, including **PLAINTIFFS**.
- 187. Rather than spend the money it obtains from customers for infrastructure maintenance and safety, **PG&E** funnels this funding to boost its own corporate profits and

⁶⁶ A few cities like Palo Alto and Sacramento provide their own gas and electric utility services.

compensation. This pattern and practice of favoring profits over having a solid and well-maintained infrastructure that would be safe and dependable for years to come left **PG&E** vulnerable to an increased risk of a catastrophic event such as the North Bay Fires.

188. For example, according to documents released by The Utility Reform Network ("TURN"), **PG&E** planned to replace a segment of the San Bruno pipeline in 2007 that it identified as one of the riskiest pipelines in **PG&E's** system. **PG&E** collected \$5 million from its customers to complete the project by 2009, but instead deferred the project until it was too late and repurposed the money to other priorities. That same year, **PG&E** spent nearly \$5 million on bonuses for six of its top executives.

189. Further, Geisha Williams, **PG&E's** CEO, is slated to receive at least \$12.23 million in bonuses over the next few years, depending on the future performance of the company. This is on top of her \$1.085 million annual salary, which rose 3 percent from 2017 to 2018.⁶⁷

190. Moreover, **PG&E** has implemented multiple programs that provide monetary incentives to its employees, agents, and/or contractors to not protect public safety. Prior to the Butte Fire, **PG&E** chose to provide a monetary incentive through the VMII program to its contractors to cut fewer trees, even though **PG&E** was required to have an inspection program in place that removed dangerous trees and reduced the risk of wildfires. Robert Urban, a regional officer for a **PG&E** contractor, stated that he had a concern that the bonus system incentivized his employees to not do their job, but **PG&E** chose to keep this program despite knowing this risk.

191. Similarly, prior to the San Bruno explosion, **PG&E** had a program that provided financial incentives to employees to not report or fix gas leaks and keep repair costs down. This program resulted in the failure to detect a significant number of gas leaks, many of which were considered serious leaks. According to Richard Kuprewicz, an independent pipeline safety expert, **PG&E's** incentive system was "training and rewarding people to do the wrong thing," emblematic

LAW OFFICES

McCarthy, LLP

⁶⁷ https://www.sfchronicle.com/business/article/PG-E-CEO-could-get-more-than-12-million-in-12714473.php (last accessed March 6, 2018).

of "a seriously broken process," and "explains many of the systemic problems in this operation that contributed to the [San Bruno] tragedy." 68

192. As detailed above, the North Bay Fires are just one example of the many tragedies that have resulted from **PG&E's** enduring failure to protect the public from the dangers associated with its operations. PG&E power lines, transformers, conductors, poles, insulators, and/or other electrical equipment have repeatedly started wildfires due to **PG&E's** ongoing failure to create, manage, implement, and/or maintain effective vegetation management programs for the areas near and around its electrical equipment. Further, **PG&E's** aging infrastructure has caused multiple disasters throughout California.

193. As detailed more fully above, **PG&E's** failures to reduce the risk of wildfire are serious and widespread, and contributed to causing the North Bay Fires. The reclosers in **PG&E's** system were set to avoid outages and not to avoid fires, even though fire conditions were known to be extreme. **PG&E** failed to have a reasonable system in place to make sure that its contractors were properly performing tree and/or vegetation inspections and removal, pole clearance, and pole inspections. **PG&E** failed to take any steps to look for what it calls Facility Protect Trees (trees which pose a risk of falling into the line), even though it knew such trees were likely to exist after its contractors had performed their work. **PG&E** failed to properly construct its power lines and thereafter failed to take reasonable steps to make sure the poles and lines were sufficiently strong to support lines and other equipment that were added by third parties. Finally, despite knowing that wildfires posed the greatest risk to the public from its electrical operations, **PG&E** chose to not ensure that its contractors were properly trained in tree inspections and removal, chose to not ensure that its contractors hired people who met **PG&E's** minimum qualifications, and chose to not participate in the training of its contractors.

194. As the numbers of disasters caused by **PG&E** continue to mount, the number of "feel good" commercials it airs increases exponentially. Those "concerned neighbor" commercials cannot hide the true nature of **PG&E's** corporate culture of greed, indifference,

McCarthy, LLP

⁶⁸ http://www.sfgate.com/news/article/PG-E-incentive-system-blamed-for-leak-oversights-2424430.php (last accessed March 6, 2018).

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

3

dogged refusal to take responsibility for its actions, and persistent failure to institute obvious measures to protect the public.

V. <u>CAUSES OF ACTION</u>

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE (Against All Defendants)

195. **PLAINTIFFS** incorporate and re-allege each of the paragraphs set forth above as though fully set forth herein.

196. The North Bay Fires were a direct and legal result of the negligence, carelessness, recklessness, and/or unlawfulness of **DEFENDANTS**, and/or each of them. **DEFENDANTS**, and/or each of them, breached their respective duties owed individually and/or collectively to **PLAINTIFFS** by, including but not limited to: (1) failing to comply with the applicable statutory, regulatory, and/or professional standards of care; (2) failing to timely and properly maintain, manage, inspect, and/or monitor the subject power lines, electrical equipment, and/or adjacent vegetation; (3) failing to properly cut, trim, prune, and/or otherwise keep vegetation at a sufficient distance to avoid foreseeable contact with power lines; (4) failing to trim and/or prune vegetation so as to avoid creation of a safety hazard within close proximity of the subject power line; (5) failing to make the overhead lines safe under all the exigencies created by surrounding circumstances and conditions; (6) failing to conduct adequate, reasonably prompt, proper, effective, and/or frequent inspections and/or repairs of the electrical transmission lines, wires, and/or associated equipment; (7) failing to design, construct, monitor, and/or maintain electrical transmission and/or distribution power lines in a manner that avoids the potential to ignite a fire during long, dry seasons by allowing vegetation to grow in an unsafe manner; (8) failing to install the equipment necessary and/or to inspect and/or repair the equipment installed, to prevent electrical transmission and distribution lines from improperly sagging, operating, and/or making contact with other metal wires placed on its poles and igniting fires; (9) failing to keep equipment in a safe condition and/or manage equipment to prevent fire at all times; (10) failing to de-energize power lines during fire prone conditions; (11) failing to de-energize power lines after the ignition

27 28

MASTER COMPLAINT - INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS

52

Page 58

-30088 Doc# 2842-2 Filed: 07/02/19 Entered: 07/02/19 18:04:42 of 58

of the North Bay Fires; and/or (12) failing to properly train and to supervise employees and/or